Assigned exercises: OpenIntro supplement, pg.11-12, exercises 4, 5, 6.
Supplemental exercises linked via homework web page:
Pg.673-686, # 1, 7, 11, 13, 15, 26, 30, 35, 37, 39. (13 probs total)
Graded exercises: OpenIntro supplement # 5, and
other supplement, pg.673-686, # 1, 13, 35, 39.
Total (maximum) possible points = 20.
3 pt for each of 5 graded problems, plus 5 for completion of the rest.

## Exercises from OpenIntro supplement, pg.11-12

(5) This question is about confidence intervals for mean response values. Thus we must use the standard error expression given by

$$SE = \sqrt{\frac{s_e^2}{n} + SE_{b_1}^2 \times (x^* - \bar{x})^2}$$

The response is given by the regression equation:  $\hat{y} = -0.357 + 4.034 \times \text{body wt.}$ Other needed quantities:  $s_e = 1.452, n = 144, SE_{b_1} = 0.25, \bar{x} = 2.724, t_{142}^* = 1.977.$ Assuming the conditions are met, the computations and results would be as follows:

(a) For body wt = 5.5 kg:  $\hat{y} = -0.357 + 4.034 \times 5.5 = 21.83$  gm.

 $SE = \sqrt{\frac{1.452^2}{144} + 0.25^2 \times (5.5 - 2.724)^2} = 0.7045 \text{ gm}.$ 

C.I.=  $21.83 \pm 1.977 \cdot 0.7045 = (20.44, 23.22)$  gm.

We are 95% confident that the predicted mean heart weight of cats with a body weight of 5.5 kg lies between 20.44 and 23.22 grams.

(b) and (c) are not graded. Their answers are included in the supplement.

## Exercises from supplement linked via homework web page

- (1) The conditions that must be satisfied are:
  - (i) Approximately linear relationship: The scatterplot between the explanatory and response variables suggests this condition is satisfied.
  - (ii) Approximately normal residuals: The histogram of the residuals is symmetric, and the best we can tell, appears close to normal.
  - (iii) Constant variability of residuals: While the plot of the residuals exhibits some changes in variability, it is likely within range of acceptable.
  - (iv) Independent observations: No information is given to help check this condition. One indirect indication may be that the residuals plot shows no obvious trend or pattern.

- (13) The administrators are 95% confident that the mean 6-year graduation rate for all top colleges that admit 33% of their applicants is predicted to lie between 87.86 and 89.60 percent.
- (35) (a) Let  $\beta_1$  = slope of the true relationship between weight and fuel efficiency of cars. Null hypothesis  $H_0: \beta_1 = 0$ Alt hypothesis  $H_A: \beta_1 \neq 0$ 
  - (b) Conditions: The scatterpplot suggests a linear relationship; the histogram of residuals looks symmetric and close to normal; the residuals plot suggests there may be a slight increase in variability for larger x-values, but it is not too bad; the independence condition may be met, but there is insufficient information to tell.
    - (c) is not graded, but here is the answer:
  - (c) From the software output, we have t-score= $\frac{b_1-\beta_1}{SE} = \frac{-8.2136-0}{0.674} = -12.19$ , with df = 48. The *P*-value is almost 0. Thus we reject  $H_0$  and infer that there is strong evidence of a linear relationship between weight of a car and fuel efficiency.
- (39) (a) This question is about a confidence interval for the mean of the predicted y-values at a specific x-value. Here are the needed numerical quantities and calculations:  $\hat{y} = 48.7393 - 8.2136x = 48.7393 - 8.2136 \times 2.5 = 28.2053 \text{ mpg}$  $SE = \sqrt{\frac{s^2}{n} + SE_{b_1}^2(x-\bar{x})^2} = \sqrt{\frac{2.413^2}{50} + (0.674)^2(2.5-2.8878)^2} = 0.4298 \text{ mpg}$  $C.I. = \hat{y} \pm t_{48}^*SE = 28.2053 \pm 2.014 \times 0.4298 = (27.34, 29.07) \text{ mpg}$ We are 95% confident that the mean fuel efficiency of all cars weighing 2500 pounds is predicted to lie between 27.34 and 29.07 mpg.
  - (b) This question is about a prediction interval for y-values at a specific x-value. The key difference from part (a) is in how we calculate the SE. The x-value in this question is also different.

$$\hat{y} = 48.7393 - 8.2136x = 48.7393 - 8.2136 \times 3.45 = 20.4024 \text{ mpg}$$

$$SE = \sqrt{s^2 + \frac{s^2}{n} + SE_{b_1}^2(x - \bar{x})^2}$$

$$= \sqrt{2.413^2 + \frac{2.413^2}{50} + (0.674)^2(3.45 - 2.8878)^2} = 2.4663 \text{ mpg}$$
C.I.  $= \hat{y} \pm t_{48}^*SE = 20.4024 \pm 2.014 \times 2.4663 = (15.44, 25.37) \text{ mpg}$ 

We are 95% confident that the fuel efficiency of a car weighing 3450 pounds will lie between 15.44 and 25.37 mpg.