Worksheet

[Refer to handout from last class for help with these exercises.]

Consider the problem of modeling the spread of a pollutant in a river, following an industrial accident that is releasing it into the river at a specific location. The sketch below shows a schematic



Several key modeling concepts pertaining to natural phenomena can be understood with the help of this example. To keep things simple, assume a one-dimensional approximation for the river flow. Let c(x,t) denote the concentration of the pollutant as a function of x and t.

- 1. A still river model: Suppose the flow velocity of the river is zero, and suppose the only mechanism for pollutant transport is diffusion. (E.g., Think of a drop of ink placed gently into a long, narrow channel of still water.)
 - (a) Write a partial differential equation for c(x, t) to model this situation.
 - (b) Suppose at t = 0 we have an initial concentration that looks like an impulse function, e.g., $c(x,0) = e^{-100x^2}$. Sketch a graph of c(x,0), and sketch qualitatively reasonable estimates for c(x,t) at later times. Assume no new pollutant enters the river after t = 0.
 - (c) Using basic understanding about derivatives, it is possible to guess some solution forms that satisfy the above PDE. For instance, assume c(x,t) = f(x) g(t) for some functions f and g. Show that, to satisfy $\partial c/\partial t = \partial^2 c/\partial x^2$, we must have f(x) g'(t) = f''(x) g(t). Can you guess an exponential g and a trigonometric f that does the job? Can you then extend that to the more general diffusion equation: $\partial c/\partial t = \alpha \partial^2 c/\partial x^2$?
 - (d) Reflect on your solution in (c) and discuss whether it could explain your qualititative solutions in (b).
- 2. A flowing river with no diffusion: This time we assume a constant flow velocity v for the river, and consider a pollutant that doesn't diffuse. (E.g., Think of a drop of non-diffusing oil placed into a long, narrow tube of flowing water.)
 - (a) Write a partial differential equation for c(x, t) that would model this situation.
 - (b) Suppose at t = 0 we have an initial concentration that looks like an impulse function, e.g., $c(x, 0) = e^{-100x^2}$. Sketch a graph of c(x, 0), and sketch qualitatively reasonable estimates for c(x, t) at later times. Assume no new pollutant enters the river after t = 0.

- (c) One way to "guesstimate" a solution for the PDE $\partial c/\partial t = -v \partial c/\partial x$, is to notice the solid-body type of motion of the pollutant concentration c. Suppose c(x, 0) = f(x) for some function f. Try to plug into the PDE the guess c(x, t) = f(x - t). Does it work? It should almost! Can you improve the guess, and make it fully work?
- (d) Reflect on your solution in (c) and discuss whether it could explain your qualititative solutions in (b).
- 3. A more realistic river and pollutant: Assume the river flows with a constant velocity v, and the pollutant diffuses with constant diffusion coefficient α .
 - (a) Write a PDE for c(x, t) to model this situation.
 - (b) If the initial pollutant concentration looks like a pulse function, what would you expect it to look like at later times. Show qualititatively reasonable sketches.
- 4. Run simulations in Sage to explore and verify solutions for each of the above situations.

Moral of the story

An amazingly large number of important and complex natural processes – as well as several non-natural processes – are fairly well modeled by a handful of key mathematical forms. In a sense there is something **universal** about these processes, even when they occur in completely different/ unrelated systems, that is clearly visible in their mathematical expressions and properties. We have now seen two examples of such processes:

• **Diffusion**: Kown by various other names such as: conduction (of heat, electricity), brownian motion (of molecules), dissipation, etc.

But, mathematically it always looks – and acts – the same:

- $\alpha \frac{\partial^2 c}{\partial x^2}$, OR $\alpha \nabla^2 c$ (the more general form).
- **Convection**: Kown by various other names such as: advection, drift, etc. Mathematically, it looks like:

$$v \frac{\partial c}{\partial x}$$
, OR $\nabla \cdot (c \mathbf{v})$ (the more general form).

Homework problems

- 1. If we consider the one-dimensional diffusion equation at steady-state, it is essentially an ordinary differential equation (not a partial differential equation).
 - (a) Show that this is true.
 - (b) Consider a domain $0 \le x \le 1$, and find the steady-state solution of the onedimensional diffusion equation for each of the following sets of conditions:
 - (i) c(0) = c(1) = 0.
 - (ii) c(0) = 0, c(1) = 1.
 - (iii) c(0) = 0.5, c(1) = 1.

I won't tell you what value of diffusion coefficient to use! Why?

(c) From the above results it is straightforward to deduce the long-term behavior of solutions of the full (unsteady) one-dimensional diffusion equation. Suppose we have the same domain as before $(0 \le x \le 1)$, and we impose the conditions c(0) = 0, c(1) = 1, determine the long-term behavior of solutions for each the following initial conditions:

(i)
$$c(x,0) = e^{-100x}$$

(ii) c(x, 0) = 0 everywhere, except c(1, 0) = 0.5.

Use a diffusion coefficient value of 1. Justify your answers.

- 2. If we consider the one-dimensional convection-diffusion equation at steady-state, it is also an ODE (not a PDE).
 - (a) Consider a domain $0 \le x \le 1$, and find the steady-state solution of the onedimensional convection-diffusion equation for the following conditions: c(0) = 0, c(1) = 1. Use $\alpha = v = 1$ for values of diffusion coefficient and convection velocity.
 - (b) Repeat the above calculation keeping everything the same, except v = 10. Graph and compare the results for v = 1 and v = 10. What do you observe? For a real-world context, it is fairly typical in many applications for the ratio of convection to diffusion to be 10^3 or higher.